

NOTICE RE: CERTIFICATES OF CORRECTION

Paper No. 31

DATE : 06-21-93

TO : Supervisor, Art Unit 3306SUBJECT : Certificate of Correction Request in Patent No. 4,976,273

A response to the following question(s) is requested with respect to the accompanying request for a certificate of correction.

1. Would the change(s) requested under 37 CFR 1.323 constitute new matter or require reexamination of the application?
Col. 2, line 5 and Col. 7, line 15.
2. Would the change(s) requested under 37 CFR 1.323 materially affect the scope or meaning of the claims allowed by the examiner in the patent?
3. Applicant disagrees with change(s) initialed and dated by Examiner in lieu of an Examiner's Amendment. Should the change request be granted?
4. With respect to the change(s) requested, correcting Office errors, should the patent read as shown in the certificate of correction?
5. If the amendment filed _____ had been considered by the Examiner, would the amendment have been entered?

PLEASE RESPOND WITHIN 7 DAYS AND RETURN THE FILE TO
ROOM 809, PKI

Trina Smith

Patent Assistant

TO: CERTIFICATES OF CORRECTION BRANCH

DATE:

The decision regarding the change(s) requested in the certificate of correction is shown below.

- | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |

Comments _____

Supervisor

3306

Art Unit

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 4,976,273

DATED : December 11, 1990

INVENTOR(S) : HESSEL, Lasse

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In the Related U.S. Application Data, line 1, "Jun. 15, 1987" should *Amend 7/11*
read --Jun. 5, 1987--

In the Other Publications, line 4, "1934," should read --1934),-- *atty*

In the Other Publications, line 7, "condoms-using" should read
--Condoms Using-- *atty*

In the Abstract, line 4, "end" should read --end having-- *atty*

Column 1, line 60 "are" should read --is-- *atty*

Column 1, line 62 "device This" should read --device. This-- *p*

Column 2, line 5 "useful" should read --not useful-- *atty*

Column 2, line 42 "thin-walled," should read --thin-walled-- *atty*

Column 2, line 61 "condom like" should read --condom-like-- *atty*

Column 3, line 41 "virus" should read --virus,-- *p*

Column 4, line 22, "is" should read --are-- *atty*

Column 4, line 62 "elastric" should read --elastic-- *C.K.*

Column 5, line 55, "a insertion-- should read --an insertion-- *atty*

Column 5, line 59, "inserted" should read --insertion-- *atty*

Column 6, line 27 "rounded At" should read --rounded. At-- *p*

Column 6, line 41, "2" should read --2 of-- and "1" should read *atty*

--1,--: *atty*

Column 7, line 6, "that" should read --than-- *atty*

Column 7, line 15, "internal of" should read --internal or-- *atty*

Column 7, line 17, attatched" should read --attached-- *atty*